



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Project of Hemoglobinopathies For Immigrants (Education, Prevention, Genetic Diagnosis And Treatment Approach)

Third Transnational Project Meeting – TPM3
Second Vocational Educating Meeting
(July 22-23 2022)
Ferrara ITALY

EVALUATION REPORT

As part of valuation process, Forms were sent to all attendees at the TPM3 and Second Vocational Educating Meeting (July 22-23, Ferrara ITALY) in order to receive feedback and facilitate an evaluation of progress during the meeting. The indicators below were used in the evaluation form and a summary of the findings is outlined below.

INDICATORS:

- Sufficient information was sent before the meeting and communication was efficient.
- Partners were given time for introductions (or re-introductions) and had time to update each other on their backgrounds and what they can bring to the project.
- The agenda has been respected and any changes negotiated.
- Partners have all contributed to the meeting.
- The goals of the meeting were met.
- The working environment was adequate for the proposed tasks.
- Planned activities have taken place.
- The partners have a clear idea of their next steps.

In the table below, please enter an overall rating to the statements made therein using the scoring chart outlined in the table hereunder:

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5




Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




FINDINGS

PREPARATORY WORK


Sufficient information was sent before the meeting and communication was efficient.



Draft and final Agendas were sent in time



The objectives of the meeting were clear and the items on the Agenda were relevant






Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



THE MEETING


The opinions of all of the partners were taken into consideration in an equal and unbiased manner.

Strongly
Agree
100%



An understanding of the project objectives and work plan for the next period was facilitated.


Strongly
Agree
100%



The material used or produced in the meeting is clear and useful in the development of the expected project activities.

Agree
11%

Strongly
Agree
89%







Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




The Agenda items were followed effectively.



The time allocated was sufficient for the introductions by each partner.



The results reached at the end of the meeting were satisfactory.






Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




OTHER FACTORS


The accommodation, food and the social element were satisfactory. The communication and attitude of staff were appropriate.



There was effective dialog and collaboration between Partners



The meeting room and terms of technological equipment facilities were adequate, the working atmosphere was satisfactory.






Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



MOVING FORWARD


There is a clear timetable in place for the project

Strongly
Agree
100%




All decisions were made and clarified to be placed in the Minutes of the meeting.

Strongly
Agree
100%



I understand my role in the project and the tasks assigned to me

Strongly
Agree
100%





Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



1. What do you consider to be the main strength of this project meeting?

- Increasing the treatment approach of hemoglobinopathies
- The cooperation of AKHAV and the general directorate facilitates the work in the field organization. The eager appearance of the Directorate General of Public Health is encouraging.
- process management is very successful and dynamic
- It has been observed that the programs related to hemoglobinopathies, which is an important public health problem in our country, continue with similar importance and practices in Mediterranean countries.
- Sharing knowledge and experience on hemoglobinopathies in immigrants
- increased cooperation
- interest of participants

2. Can you see any weak points or problem areas for the project that should be tackled as soon as possible?

- Increase in immigration. Status of patients and carriers
- there should be no problem with communication material language
- No.(2)
- further increase of genetic centers as soon as possible
- None in particular.

3. What suggestions can you make for improving the working procedures of the partners' meetings and measures to take for solving the problem(s)?

- Clarification of the meeting dates to be held in the provinces, planning of the personnel to attend
- Informing the provincial directorates of migration administration about the work to be done by the general directorate will make an important contribution.
- No problems occurred. It was successful.
- Non in particular.

4. Are there any particular items in particular that you wish to have placed on the Agenda of the next Transnational Project Meeting?

- All the presentation.
- I wish there was simultaneous translation to take full advantage of it
- Increasing premarital testing, consanguineous marriages, genetic studies
- especially scanning centre visit can be nice
- More time for discussion.

5. Do you have any observations, comments or recommendations that may be beneficial in progressing the project?

- Non in particular
- The time was well planned, we were informed. Thank you to everyone involved.
- Everything was very well thought out and organized. Thanks.
- I think the project can provide new expansions
- No, thanks.