



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



# Project of Hemoglobinopathies For Immigrants (Education, Prevention, Genetic Diagnosis And Treatment Approach)

Second Transnational Project Meeting – TPM2  
First Vocational Educating Meeting  
(June 23-24 2022)  
Barcelona, SPAIN

## EVALUATION REPORT

As part of valuation process, Forms were sent to all attendees at the TPM2 and First Vocational Educating Meeting (June 23-24 , Barcelona SPAIN ) in order to receive feedback and facilitate an evaluation of progress during the meeting. The indicators below were used in the evaluation form and a summary of the findings is outlined below.

### INDICATORS:

- Sufficient information was sent before the meeting and communication was efficient.
- Partners were given time for introductions (or re-introductions) and had time to update each other on their backgrounds and what they can bring to the project.
- The agenda has been respected and any changes negotiated.
- Partners have all contributed to the meeting.
- The goals of the meeting were met.
- The working environment was adequate for the proposed tasks.
- Planned activities have taken place.
- The partners have a clear idea of their next steps.

In the table below, please enter an overall rating to the statements made therein using the scoring chart outlined in the table hereunder:

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5




Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




## FINDINGS

### PREPARATORY WORK


Sufficient information was sent before the meeting and communication was efficient.



Draft and final Agendas were sent in time



The objectives of the meeting were clear and the items on the Agenda were relevant






Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



## THE MEETING

The opinions of all of the partners were taken into consideration in an equal and unbiased manner.


Strongly  
Agree  
100%



An understanding of the project objectives and work plan for the next period was facilitated.

Agree  
38%


Strongly  
Agree  
62%



The material used or produced in the meeting is clear and useful in the development of the expected project activities.

Agree  
25%

Strongly  
Agree  
75%







Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




The Agenda items were followed effectively.



The time allocated was sufficient for the introductions by each partner.



The results reached at the end of the meeting were satisfactory.






Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




## OTHER FACTORS


The accommodation, food and the social element were satisfactory. The communication and attitude of staff were appropriate.



There was effective dialog and collaboration between Partners



The meeting room and terms of technological equipment facilities were adequate, the working atmosphere was satisfactory.






Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




## MOVING FORWARD


There is a clear timetable in place for the project



All decisions were made and clarified to be placed in the minutes of the meeting.



I understand my role in the project and the tasks assigned to me







Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



### 1. What do you consider to be the main strength of this project meeting?

- It was a goal-oriented, productive meeting.(3)
- All participants are relevant to the topic
- Bringing together different organizations (state, non-governmental organizations, etc.).
- Improve cooperation between stakeholders
- Interest, changes of experiences, vision of the future projects.
- A project with a clear common purpose, and I also believe that mutual goodwill is the main strength.

### 2. Can you see any weak points or problem areas for the project that should be tackled as soon as possible?

- There was a food and beverage problem on the 2nd day in the training institution, but it was immediately resolved. (3)
- The language. English is not well understood by all.
- Re-evaluation of the data in the hands of institutions and preparation of healthy data
- After the delay due to Covid, the re-emergence of Covid measures to prevent the new program
- Possibly to avoid very few overlap presentations between
- Although it is not a weak point in general, the subject can be handled more comprehensively.

### 3. What suggestions can you make for improving the working procedures of the partners' meetings and measures to take for solving the problem(s)?

- Social awareness building
- Ensuring social awareness in the most effective way
- To try to translation
- Nothing of particular
- Quite enough

### 4. Are there any particular items in particular that you wish to have placed on the Agenda of the next Transnational Project Meeting?

- Social awareness building
- Ensuring social awareness in the most effective way
- Can be sampled through patient stories

### 5. Do you have any observations, comments or recommendations that may be beneficial in progressing the project?

- Increasing the provinces
- Ensuring the participation of more provinces receiving immigration
- Ensuring the participation of people who are interested in this issue and who can show sufficient work / required performance until the end of the project
- Thanks
- Very simple material for beginner young doctors.
- I think it's good enough



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union







Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union

