



Project of Hemoglobinopathies For Immigrants (Education, Prevention, Genetic Diagnosis And Treatment Approach) (Online Meeting) First Transnational Project Meeting – TPM1 (May 10, 2022) Antalya, TÜRKİYE

EVALUATION REPORT

As part of valuation process, Forms were sent to all attendees at the First Transnational Project Meeting – TPM1 (May 10,2022 Antalya TÜRKİYE) in order to receive feedback and facilitate an evaluation of progress during the meeting. Due to the covid pandemic, this meeting was held online via zoom. The indicators below were used in the evaluation form and a summary of the findings is outlined below.

INDICATORS:

- Sufficient information was sent before the meeting and communication was efficient.
- Partners were given time for introductions (or re-introductions) and had time to update each other on their backgrounds and what they can bring to the project.
- The agenda has been respected and any changes negotiated.
- Partners have all contributed to the meeting.
- The goals of the meeting were met.
- The working environment was adequate for the proposed tasks.
- Planned activities have taken place.
- The partners have a clear idea of their next steps.
- The quality of ZOOM meeting (connection, voice, display etc.)

In the table below, please enter an overall rating to the statements made therein using the scoring chart outlined in the table hereunder:

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5







FINDINGS

PREPARATORY WORK

Sufficient information was sent before the meeting and communication was efficient.



Draft and final Agendas were sent in time



The objectives of the meeting were clear and the items on the Agenda were relevant Strongly Agree 100%




THE MEETING

facilitated.




The opinions of all of the partners were taken into consideration in an equal and unbiased manner.




An understanding of the project objectives and work plan for the next period was


The material used or produced in the meeting is clear and useful in the development of the expected project activities.








The Agenda items were followed effectively.



The time allocated was sufficient for the introductions by each partner.

The results reached at the and of the meeting were satisfactory.











The quality of ZOOM meeting (connection, voice, display etc.)




There effective dialog was and collaboration between Partners







MOVING FORWARD

There is a clear timetable in place for the project




All decisions were made and clarified to be placed in the Minutes of the meeting.





I understand my role in the project and the tasks assigned to me





- I could not follow the meeting due to Zoom failure. •
- Collaboration and share expectations.
- The big effort of coordinator Prof. Duran Canatan
- Hemoglobinopathy is an important public health problem and has a similar importance in other Mediterranean countries.
- 2. Can you see any weak points or problem areas for the project that should be tackled as soon as possible?

I did not attend. •

- Zoom platform was not easy in terms of presentations.
- The number of genetic centers should be increased.
- 3. What suggestions can you make for improving the working procedures of the partners' meetings and measures to take for solving the problem(s)?
 - It is better face to face workshop •
- Are there any particular items in particular that you wish to have placed on the Agenda of the next 4. **Transnational Project Meeting?**
 - Nothing in , may be how we can use take project budget. •
 - Scan center visit can be nice
- 5. Do you have any observations, comments or recommendations that may be beneficial in progressing the project?
 - To avoid zoom system future meeting •
 - To continue on this time
 - Everything is very well thought out and organized. Thanks.